Efficacy of second line (2L) treatment with Tivozanib (Tivo) as monotherapy or with Nivolumab (Nivo) in patients (pts) with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) previously treated with an immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) combination of ipilimumab (Ipi)/Nivo or vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (VEGFR-TKI)/ICI in the Phase 3 TiNivo-2 study

Alex Chehrazi-Raffle¹, Robert J. Motzer², Katy Beckermann³, Philippe Barthelemy⁴, Roberto Iacovelli⁵, Sheik Emambux⁶, Javier Molina-Cerrillo⁷, Benjamin Garmezy⁸, Pedro C. Barata⁹, Rana R. McKay¹⁰, Hans J. Hammers¹¹, Daniel Yick Chin Heng¹², Bo Jin¹³, Claudia Lebedinsky¹³, Edgar E. Braendle¹³, Bradley Alexander McGregor¹⁴, Laurence Albiges¹⁵, Toni K. Choueiri¹⁶ For more information contact: achehraziraffle@coh.org

*1 City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, Duarte, CA; ²Genitourinary Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY; ³Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN; ⁴Institut de Cancérologie Strasbourg Europe, Strasbourg, France; ⁵Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oncology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "A. Gemelli" IRCCS, Rome, Italy; ⁶Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, France; ⁷Medical Oncology Department, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain; ⁸Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, TN; ⁹University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center, Cleveland, OH; ¹⁰Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, CA; ¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology and Oncology, UT Southwestern, Dallas, TX; ¹²Tom Baker Cancer Centre, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB; ¹³AVEO Oncology, Boston, MA; ¹⁴Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; ¹⁵Gustave Roussy, Paris Saclay University, Paris, France; ¹⁶Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA;

Background

- Tivo is a potent and highly selective oral vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), designed to optimize VEGF blockade and minimize off-target toxicities. Tivo is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of pts with relapsed/refractory RCC following ≥ 2 prior systemic therapies^{1,2}
- Nivo is an anti-programmed death ligand antibody approved by the FDA for various tumor types, including RCC³
- TiNivo-2 was the first randomized, Phase 3 trial to assess the efficacy and safety of a PD-1 inhibitor combination following disease progression on or after prior PD-1/PD-L1 therapy⁴
- Pts were randomized 1:1 to receive Tivo once daily for 21/28 days at either 1.34 mg alone or at 0.89 mg with Nivo at 480 mg by IV infusion on day 1 of each 28-day cycle⁴
- While the study did not meet its primary endpoint of demonstrating a benefit of adding Nivo to Tivo versus Tivo alone after prior ICI exposure, clinically meaningful outcomes were observed with Tivo as a second-line (2L) and third-line (3L) treatment following ICI therapy⁴

Median progression-free survival (mPFS) for pts that received study treatment as⁴:

- 2L: 7.3 months (95% CI 5.4–9.3) with Tivo+Nivo and 9.2 months (7.4–10.0) with Tivo monotherapy (HR 1.15, 95% CI 0.82–1.62; p=0.43)
- 3L: 4.8 months (95% CI 3.2–7.5) with Tivo+Nivo and 5.5 months (2.9-7.4) with Tivo monotherapy (HR 0.97, 95% CI 0.65-1.45; p=0.89)

Study Objective: To assess efficacy of Tivo as a 2L therapeutic in the context of contemporary treatment sequencing, study outcomes were evaluated in pts who failed first line (1L) Ipi/Nivo or VEGFR-TKI/ICI therapy

Methods & Statistical Analyses

- For this exploratory sub-analysis, the efficacy data of progression free survival (PFS) and objective response rate (ORR) assessed by blinded independent review committee (BIRC) were analyzed in two cohorts of pts who were not treated with adjuvant therapy and had progressed on 1L on Ipi/Nivo. or VEGFR-TKI/ICI therapy
- A summary of key demographic and baseline disease characteristics of these two pt cohorts are shown in Table 1; some imbalances were observed. (Complete tables are accessible by embedded QR code)
- Treatment comparisons in PFS are conducted by log rank test. The PFS medians and 95% confidence limits are provided based on the Kaplan-Meier method
- The hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals are obtained by the Cox proportional hazards model. The estimated ORRs and respective 95% CI are summarized by treatment. Best percent changes from baseline in target lesion tumor size are also presented by treatment in the two cohorts of pts



In pts who received TKI/ICI in 1L, mPFS was 7.4 months (95% CI, 3.7-9.3) with Tivo and 3.9 months (95% CI, 2.1-5.7) with Tivo+Nivo; ORR was 22.0% (95% CI, 10.6%-37.6%) with Tivo and 9.5% (95% CI, 2.7%-22.6%) with Tivo+Nivo (Figure 1, Table 2).

Table 1. Key Patient Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics						Eigung (Dore	antaga Cha
Patient Baseline	• Demographics and	1L: I	сі-ткі	1L: I	pi/Nivo	Figure 3	b. Perc	centage Cha
Disease Charac	teristics Summary	Tivo (N=41)	Tivo + Nivo (N=42)	Tivo (N=37)	Tivo + Nivo (N=33)			
	Mean (SD)	64.3 (10.27)	66.0 (8.44)	59.6 (9.62)	62.1 (12.25)	A	<u> </u>	1
Are	Median	64	67	59 61 o	ຼັ 80 -	{		
Age	< 65 years, n (%)	21 (51.2)	16 (38.1)	27 (73.0)	19 (57.6)		- 00 g	{
	≥ 65 years, n (%)	20 (48.8)	26 (61.9)	10 (27.0)	14 (42.4)		seg 40 -	
	Adrenal Gland	6 (14.6)	12 (28.6)	7 (18.9)	5 (15.2)		E 20 -	
	Brain	2 (4.9)	3 (7.1)	2(5.4)	4 (12.1)	1L TKI/ICI	<u>н</u> о-	
	Bone	11 (26.8)	15 (35.7)	8 (21.6)	9 (27.3)		6 -20 -	{
	Colon	1 (2.4)	0	1 (2.7)	1 (3.0)		eu -40 -	
	Kidney	7 (17.1)	5 (11.9)	7 (18.9)	5 (15.2)		- 00 -	
Location of	Lung	28 (68.3)	27 (64.3)	30 (81.1)	22 (66.7)		0 - 80 -	
metastasis, n	Lymph node	16 (39.0)	16 (38.1	22 (59.5)	19 (57.6)		100	
(%)	Liver	11 (26.8)	9 (21.4)	7 (18.9)	7 (21.2)		-100 -	•
	Rectum	0	1 (2.4)	1 (2.7)	0			
	Spine	1 (2.4)	0	0	0			
	Skin/Soft tissue	6 (14.6)	2 (4.8)	4 (10.8)	4 (12.1)	с	100	_
	Other	14 (34.1)	12 (28.6)	6 (16.2)	12 (36.4)		s 80 -]
	Missing	1 (2.4)	0	N/A	N/A		60 -	
	Complete	19 (67.9)	10 (43.5)	14 (77.8)	11 (73.3)		ese 40 -	
Prior	Partial	5 (17.9)	11 (47.8)	2 (11.1)	3 (20.0)		ш Е 20-	
n (%)	Other	4 (14.3)	2 (8.7)	2 (11.1)	1 (6.7)	1L lpi/Nivo	2 0-	
	No	13 (31.7)	19 (45.2)	19 (51.4)	18 (54.5)		b -20 -	
	Avelumab/Axitinib	6 (14.6)	4 (9.5)	N/A	N/A		10 -	
	Axitinib/Pembrolizumab	22 (53.7)	23 (54.8)	N/A	N/A			
Sub-categories					-60 -	1		
n ICI+TKI, n (%)	Cabozantinib/Nivolumab	8 (19.5)	13 (31.0)	N/A	N/A		<u>e</u> -80 -	1
		= (10.0)					-100 -	1
	Lenvatinib/Pembrolizumab	5(12.2)	2(4.8)	N/A	N/A			

References FOTIVDA® (Tivozanib) Prescribing Information. 2024; ²Rathmell WK, et al. J Clin et al. Lancet. 2024; 404:1309-1320.

Results

In pts who received Ipi/Nivo in 1L, mPFS was 9.2 months (95% CI, 4.5-NR) with Tivo and 9.3 months (95% CI, 7.3-15.3) with Tivo+Nivo; ORR was 35.1% (95% CI, 18.0%-49.8%) with Tivo and 24.2% (95% CI, 11.1%-42.3%) with Tivo+Nivo (Figure 2, Table 3).





Table 3. 1L lpi/Nivo Objective Response Rate, N (%)

Rost	lpi/Nivo			
Response	Tivo	Tivo + Nivo		
CR	0	0		
PR	12 (32.4)	8 (24.2)		
SD	17 (45.9)	19 (57.6)		
PD	7 (18.9)	6 (18.2)		
NE/missing	1 (2.7)	0		
ORR% [95% Cl]	32.4 (18-49.8)	24.2 (11.1-42.3)		

Conclusions

Poster 340:

Abstract 4540

- In this TiNivo-2 subgroup analysis, Tivo monotherapy at 1.34 mg daily showed activity in pts who previously received a contemporary 1L mRCC regimen
 - Substantial tumor size reduction was observed, after 1L lpi/Nivo and TKI-ICI
 - ORR ranged from 22-32% based on 1L treatment
- There appeared to be no benefit with the addition of Nivo to Tivo in this context, akin to the results of the parent trial

nge in Tumor Size From Baseline

Across both cohorts, 80% (123/153) of pts had some reduction in tumor size (Figure 3). More pts ≥50% reduction in target tumor size from baseline compared to Tivo + Nivo (Figure 3E). Of the 7 pts reduction of ≥50% from Tivo, 6 (85.7%) and 1 (14.3%) were previously treated with axitinib and cabozantinib, respectively (Figure 3A and B).

Acknowledgements We express our gratitude to the patients and their caregivers for their invaluable contributions. We also extend our thanks to Presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology Symposium; May 30-June 3, 2025; Chicago IL Oncol. 2022; 40:2957-2995; ³OPDIVO® (Nivolumab) Prescribing Information. 2024; ⁴Choueiri TK, the clinical study teams, investigators, and steering committee. This study is sponsored by AVEO Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Nivolumab was provided by Bristol Myers Squibb. Editorial assistance was provided by MEDiSTRAVA, an Inizio Company, and funded by AVEO Pharmaceuticals, Inc